Amendments to the Claims:

The listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

Listing of Claims:

Claim 1. (Currently Amended) A software generation system comprising:

a specification analysis means which analyzes an object-oriented specification input by a system operator, for deriving specification information;

an optimized information input means for inputting optimized information from an external unit, indicating a necessity or lack of necessity for use of a dynamic generation function for dynamic generation of an instance representing one of a set of object oriented functions;

a function removing means which checks said specification information derived by said specification analysis means and the optimized information input via said optimized information inputting means by collating with a predetermined function removal rule, which removes a function which becomes unnecessary from a set of object-oriented functions by which members

are realized, for generating from the specification information and the optimized

information, program information excluding the unnecessary function; and

a code generation means for generating a code according to said

program information obtained by said function removing means;

wherein said optimized information input means includes selection

means is operable after said system operator has input said object oriented

specification and before generation of said code, for receiving from and includes a

graphic display which presents to said system operator a selection from among

use and not-to-use options for said dynamic generation function.

Claims 2-7. (Cancelled).

Claim 8. (Previously Presented) The software generation system

according to Claim 1, further comprising:

an analysis result display means for displaying a status of use of an

object-oriented function by which a member is realized from the specification

information.

Page 3 of 10

Supplemental Amendment Dated: August 10, 2004

Reply to Office Action Mailed November 20, 2003

Attorney Docket No. 381NP47598

Claims 9-10. (Cancelled)

Claim 11. (Currently Amended) A software generation system

comprising:

a specification analysis means which analyzes an object-oriented

specification for deriving specification information;

an optimization instruction input means, which is operable after a

program is written, for a system operator inputting an optimization instruction

via an external unit, indicating use or not-to-use of respective object oriented

functions contained within a set of object oriented functions;

a function removing means which checks said specification

information derived by the specification analysis means and said optimization

instruction input via the optimization instruction inputting means, by collating

with a predetermined function removal rule, which removes a function that

becomes unnecessary from said set of object-oriented functions by which

members are realized, for generating from the specification information and the

Page 4 of 10

optimization instruction entered by said system operator, program information

excluding the unnecessary function; and

a code generation means for generating a code according to said

program information obtained by said function removing means;

wherein said optimized information input means includes selection

means is operable after said system operator has input said object oriented

specification and before generation of said code, for receiving from and includes a

graphic display which presents to said system operator a selection from among

use and not-to-use options for said dynamic generation function.

Claim 12. (Previously Presented) The software generation system

according to Claim 11, further comprising:

an analysis result display means for displaying a status of use of an

object-oriented function by which a member is realized from the specification

information.

Claim 13. (Previously Presented) The software generation system

according to Claim 1, wherein said selection means comprises display means for

offering to said system operator use and not-to-use options for said dynamic

Page 5 of 10

Supplemental Amendment Dated: August 10, 2004

Reply to Office Action Mailed November 20, 2003

Attorney Docket No. 381NP47598

generation function, which options can be selected by said system operator via an

input interface.

Claim 14. (Previously Presented) The software generation system

according to Claim 8, wherein said selection means comprises display means for

offering to said system operator use and not-to-use options for said dynamic

generation function, which options can be selected by said system operator via an

input interface.

Claim 15. (Previously Presented) The software generation system

according to Claim 11, wherein said selection means comprises display means for

displaying use and not-to-use indicators, for individual ones of said respective

object-oriented functions, which indicators can be selected by said system

operator via an input interface.

Claim 16. (Previously Presented) The software generation system

according to Claim 12, wherein said selection means comprises display means for

displaying use and not-to-use indicators, for individual ones of said respective

object-oriented functions, which indicators can be selected by said system

operator via an input interface.

Page 6 of 10

Supplemental Amendment Dated: August 10, 2004

Reply to Office Action Mailed November 20, 2003

Attorney Docket No. 381NP47598

Claim 17. (Previously Presented) A method for automatically

generating software, using a software generation system having a processing

system that includes a system operator interface, a specification analysis unit

which analyzes an object oriented specification input by the system operator for

deriving specification information, and a code generating unit for generating a

code according to said specification information, said method comprising:

said system operator entering an object oriented specification into

said processing system via said interface;

after entry of said object oriented specification, said processing

system displaying to said system operator a function item selection screen, which

offers to said system operator an option to select from use and not-to-use

instructions for respective object oriented functions contained in a set of object

oriented functions;

for each of said respective object oriented functions, said system

operator selecting one of said use and not-to-use instructions;

said processing system checking said specific information derived by said

specification analysis unit and said use and not-to-use instructions selected by

Page 7 of 10

Supplemental Amendment Dated: August 10, 2004

Reply to Office Action Mailed November 20, 2003

Attorney Docket No. 381NP47598

said system operator, by collating with a predetermined function removal rule,

which removes a function that becomes unnecessary from said set of object-

oriented functions by which members are realized, for generating from said

specification information and said instructions, program information excluding

unnecessary functions; and

said code generating unit generating a code according to said program

information excluding unnecessary functions.

Page 8 of 10